

# ACCREDITING COMMISSION for COMMUNITY and JUNIOR COLLEGES

Western Association of Schools and Colleges

10 COMMERCIAL BOULEVARD
SUITE 204
NOVATO, CA 94949
TELEPHONE: (415) 506-0234
FAX: (415) 506-0238
E-MAIL: accjc@accjc.org
www.accjc.org

Chairperson STEVEN KINSELLA Administrative Member

Vice Chairperson SUSAN KAZAMA Academic Member

President BARBARA A. BENO

Vice President SUSAN B. CLIFFORD

> Vice President KRISTA JOHNS

Vice President GARMAN JACK POND

Associate Vice President JOHN NIXON

Associate Vice President NORVAL WELLSFRY June 29, 2015

#### CORRECTED LETTER

Dr. Elñora Webb President Laney College 900 Fallon Street Oakland, CA 94607

Dear President Webb:

The Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges, Western Association of Schools and Colleges, at its meeting on June 3-5, 2015, reviewed the Institutional Self Evaluation Report, the Report of the External Evaluation Team that visited Laney College March 9-12, 2015, and considered the opening testimony provided by President Webb and representatives of the Peralta Community College District. The Commission appreciates the joint commitment to working together toward improvement that Laney College and the Peralta Community College District representatives spoke about.

The Commission took action to **issue Warning** and require the College to submit a Follow-Up Report in October 2016. The Report will be followed by a visit of an external evaluation team. Warning is issued when an institution is out of compliance with Eligibility Requirements, Accreditation Standards, or Commission policies to an extent that gives concern to the Commission. When Warning is issued as a result of the institution's educational quality and institutional effectiveness review, reaffirmation of accreditation is delayed during the period of warning. Warning is being issued for the District and College deficiencies.

Laney College should submit the Follow-Up Report by **October 1, 2016**. The Follow-Up Report should demonstrate that the College has resolved the deficiencies which led to noncompliance and that it meets the Standards. The Report should address the college and district recommendations, and the Commission Concern noted below.

## Need to Resolve Deficiencies:

The Accreditation Standards represent practices that lead to academic quality and institutional effectiveness. Deficiencies in institutional policies, procedures, practices, and outcomes which lead to noncompliance with any Standards will impact quality at an institution, and ultimately the educational environment and experiences of students.

The Commission found Laney College out of compliance with the following Eligibility Requirements and Accreditation Standards: Eligibility Requirements 10-and Standards I.B.6, I.B.7, II.A.1.a, II.A.1.c, II.A.2.a, II.A.2.b, II.A.2.e, II.A.2.f, II.A.2.i, II.B.3.a, II.B.4, III.A.2, III.A.6, III.B.1, III.B.1.a, III.B.2, III.B.2.a, III.B.2.b, III.C.2, III.D.1.a, III.D.1.b, III.D.1.c, III.D.2.b, III.D.3.c, III.D.3.h, III.D.4, IV.A.5, IV.B.1, IV.B.1.a, IV.B.1.e, IV.B.1.j, IV.B.3, IV.B.3.b, IV.B.3.c, IV.B.3.g. The External Evaluation Report that was sent to the institution provides details of the team's findings with regard to each Eligibility Requirement and Accreditation Standard and should be read carefully and used to understand the team's findings. College Recommendations 1 through 3, District Recommendations 1 through 8, and Commission Concern 1 provide guidance for coming into compliance.

# College Recommendation 1: Integrated Planning and Evaluation

In order to meet the Standard, the College should clearly define, document, communicate, and evaluate the structures, roles, responsibilities, and processes used to integrate human, facilities, and fiscal planning in support of student learning and achievement (I.B.6, I.B.7, II.B.3.a, II.B.4, III.B.2.b, III.D.4, IV.A.5).

# College Recommendation 2: Program Learning Outcomes and Assessment

In order to meet the Standard, the College must:

- Identify and publish program learning outcomes
- Ensure official SLOs align with SLOs on course syllabi
- Regularly assess course and program student learning outcomes; publish results of program level assessment
- Use assessment results to take actions that may result in improvement and evaluate results of these actions

(II.A.1.a; II.A.1.c; II.A.2.a; II.A.2.b; II.A.2.e; II.A.2.f; IIA.2.i; ER 10).

With regard to College Recommendation 3 which required the College to submit a Substantive Change Proposal for its Distance Education program, the Commission notes that this recommendation was addressed, a Substantive Change Proposal was submitted and approved in May 2015, and the associated Standards and Commission policy were met following the evaluation team's visit.

# **District Recommendation 1**

In order to meet the Standards, the team recommends that the District follow the 2014 audit recommendations and develop an action plan to fund its Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB) liabilities, including the associated debt service (III.D.1.c, III.D.3.c).

#### District Recommendation 2

In order to meet the Standards, the team recommends that the District resolve the ongoing deficiencies identified in the 2013 and 2014 external audit findings (III.D.2.b, III.D.3.h).

### **Commission Concern 1:**

Regarding District Recommendations 1 and 2, the Commission carefully reviewed the team report and the District's external audit and found that the District must provide the documented, long-term planning necessary for the continued financial stability of the District. This must include attention to obligations coming due in the future such as the postemployment health care benefits, the annual line of credit repayment, and the appropriate resolution to audit findings from 2013 and 2014 which impact the District both at the operating fund level and the entity-wide financial statement level (III.D.1.c, III.D.2.b, III.D.3.c, III.D.3.h).

#### **District Recommendation 3:**

In order to meet the Standards, the team recommends that District General Services (DGS) work with college personnel to implement a plan to address total cost of ownership for new facilities and equipment, including undertaking critical deferred maintenance and preventive maintenance needs at the college in order to assure safe and sufficient physical resources for students, faculty and staff (III.B.1, III.B.1.a, III.B.2.a).

#### **District Recommendation 4:**

In order to meet the Standards, the District should clearly identify the structures, roles, responsibilities and document the processes used to integrate human, facilities, technology planning, and fiscal planning in support of student learning and achievement and regularly evaluate the process in order to fairly allocate resources to support the planning priorities (III.A.6, III.B.2, III.C.2, III.D.4, IV.B.3.g).

#### **District Recommendation 5:**

In order to meet the Standards, the team recommends that the District ensure retention of key leadership positions and that adequate staffing capacity is available to address the needs of the colleges in three critical areas reflected in the accreditation standards: institutional effectiveness and leadership, institutional research, and financial accountability and management (III.A.2, III.A.6).

## **District Recommendation 6:**

In order to meet the Standards, the team recommends that the District clearly delineate and communicate the operational responsibilities and functions of the district from those of the colleges and consistently adheres to this delineation in practice; and regularly assesses and evaluates District role delineation and governance and decision-making structures and processes to assure their integrity and effectiveness in assisting the colleges in meeting educational goals (IV.B.3).

#### **District Recommendation 7:**

In order to meet the Standards, the team recommends the Governing Board adhere to its appropriate role. The Board must allow the chancellor to take full responsibility and authority for the areas assigned to District oversight (IV.B.1, IV.B.1a, IV.B.1.e, IV.B.1.j).

## **District Recommendation 8:**

In order to meet the Standards, the team recommends that the District systematically evaluate the equitable distribution of resources and the sufficiency and effectiveness of district-provided services in supporting effective operations of the colleges (IV.B.3.b, IV.B.3.c, III.D.1.a, III.D.1.b, III.D.3.h).

## **Additional Information:**

Under U.S. Department of Education enforcement regulations, the Commission is required to take immediate action to terminate the accreditation of an institution which is out of compliance with any Standard. In the alternative, the Commission can provide the institution with additional notice and a deadline for coming into compliance that is no later than two years from when the institution was first informed of the noncompliance. With this letter, Laney College is being provided with notice of the Standards for which it is out of compliance and is being provided time to meet the Standards.

In its self evaluation process, Laney College also identified improvement plans it intends to undertake. These improvement plans should be linked to Laney College's ongoing evaluation and improvement work.

The guidance and recommendations contained in the External Evaluation Report represent the best advice of the peer evaluation team at the time of the visit but may not describe all that is necessary for the College to come into compliance. While an institution may concur or disagree with any part of the Report, Laney College is expected to use the External Evaluation Report to improve educational programs and services. In addition, the College has the responsibility to accept the Commission's action and to uphold the integrity of the accreditation process by accurately portraying it and helping institutional constituencies to understand the Eligibility Requirements, Accreditation Standards, and Commission policies pertinent to the Commission action.

I have previously sent you a copy of the External Evaluation Report. The Commission requires that the College give the Institutional Self Evaluation Report, the External Evaluation Team Report, and this letter appropriate dissemination to College staff and to those who were signatories of the College Self Evaluation Report. This group should include the campus leadership and the Board of Trustees. The Commission also requires that these documents be

made available to students and the public by placing a copy on the College website. Please note that in response to public interest in disclosure, the Commission now requires institutions to post accreditation information on a page no more than one click from the institution's home page.

On behalf of the Commission, I wish to encourage your continued work to ensure Laney College's educational quality and to support students' success. Professional self-regulation is the responsibility of an accredited college and the accreditor. Thank you for sharing in that responsibility.

Sincerely,

Barbara A. Beno, Ph.D.

President

BAB/tl

cc: Dr. José Ortiz, Chancellor

Balana a Seno

Institutions preparing and submitting Midterm Reports, Follow-Up Reports, and Special Reports to the Commission should review *Guidelines for the Preparation of Reports to the Commission* found on the ACCJC website at: (http://www.accjc.org/college-reports-accjc).