

Budget Advisory Committee (BAC) Meeting Minutes

November 26, 2014

Room T750

11-12:00

Co-chaired by Phyllis Carter, Director of Business and Administrative Services and Lisa Cook, Faculty Senate President

In attendance: J. Blake, K. Tran, D. Simon, L. Cook and P. Carter

Absent: P. Crabtree, S. Loomba, T. Brown, M. Lewis, C. Oliver, R. Lopez and M. Avila

Agenda Item Recurring (R) and One-time (O)	Expected Outcomes and Outcomes Achieved	Discussion Points	Facilitator(s)	Time
Minutes from Oct. 15 (R)	<p><u>Expected Outcomes:</u> The minutes will be approved by committee.</p> <p><u>Outcomes Achieved</u> The minutes were tabled until next meeting because no quorum was present.</p>		L. Cook	
2014-15 Committee Goals (R)	<p><u>Expected Outcomes:</u> Review and revise goals as needed.</p> <p><u>Outcomes Achieved</u> Topic was tabled until next meeting.</p>		P. Carter	
Review of 2014-15 Financial Results as of October 2014 (R)	<p><u>Expected Outcomes:</u> Review spending.</p> <p><u>Outcomes Achieved</u> Financial Results were distributed and summary overview was provided by Director of Business and Administrative Services.</p>		P. Carter	
Update from PBC (R)	<p><u>Expected Outcomes:</u> Review of carryover budget process.</p> <p><u>Outcomes Achieved:</u> The BAC members reviewed basic process during the meeting.</p>		P. Carter and L. Cook	
Request of Budget Augmentations and Budget Reallocations (R)	<p><u>Expected Outcomes:</u> Review of process.</p> <p><u>Outcomes Achieved:</u> The BAC members reviewed basic process during the meeting.</p>		P. Carter	
Planning for implementation of the BAM (R)	<p><u>Expected Outcomes:</u> Discuss ideas for furthering implementation of BAM.</p> <p><u>Outcomes Achieved:</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The committee needs to learn more about the District task force and achieve representation to keep on course to the full implementation of 	P. Carter and Lisa Cook	

	The BAC members discussed the topic and shared ideas at the meeting.	<p>the BAM.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The College needs to hold the District accountable for the points raised in ISE section 3d requiring the District to fully implement the BAM but also to receive retro treatment to make up for \$4M underfunded over the years because the BAM was not implemented. • The college should invite Chancellor and CFO to come and talk to faculty and classified senates to answer concerns about the BAM. • Senates and ASLC come together to build alliances to advocate for the BAM. • Laney plans to participate in study Maximizing Resources for Student Success that captures and analyzes data to help us prioritize allocations of discretionary funds 		
Update of the spending of PASS dollars at Laney (R)	<p><u>Expected Outcomes:</u> Review spending.</p> <p><u>Outcomes Achieved</u> This topic was tabled until next meeting.</p>		L. Cook	
Reports from 11/17 Workshop on BAM at District Office (O)	<p><u>Expected Outcomes:</u> Share and review information.</p> <p><u>Outcomes Achieved:</u> The BAC members discussed the topic at the workshop.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • S. Rinne explained BAM partially implemented because the District does wants to hold harmless any college with fixed costs that are beyond their control. • District has not adhered to conditions to allocate dollars according to straight FTES or given new faculty positions to BCC and Laney that suffer. • Budget approved by board for Laney is \$1.6M less than what would be provided if the BAM was fully implemented and additional revenue given to college as one time allocation of \$800K is provided from international student revenues but not permanent. 	P. Carter	

		<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Now international student revenue will not be allocated according to BAM.• District Task force set up to implement the BAM to look for another method.• Currently colleges are allowed to create a higher fiscal load than they can generate in FTES to fund.• FON—District in compliance but shows we have more faculty than minimum required (5 over), which means District is not hiring. Therefore Laney cannot hire because other colleges that were not supposed to refill retirees were allowed to do so.• Classified needed in critical areas to provide student services and improve existing services like servicing students faster in the financial aid awarding process. Students from other Peralta Colleges (who receive the FTES count) are actually taking basic level courses at Laney and using our services. However, Laney does not receive the FTES funding but we incur the costs.		
--	--	---	--	--