Appendix C Program Review Validation Form and Signature Page

Laney College

Discipline, Department or Program: Architecture (ARCH)

Part 1: First Level Validation: Assessment of department performance and/or needs in specific areas (to be completed by sections leads and division deans

Program Review	Validation	Comments	
Elements	9	Laney College Goals:	
	In Progress: department is moving to align with	#1 Student Success: Develop new and strengthen existing interventions and strategies to increase students' access and success.	
college goals Meets college goals: department aligns with college goals		#2 Accreditation: Ensure a collaborative process to successfully complete the necessary actions that lead to the reaffirmation of Laney College's accreditation on an unconditional (non-warning) status. #3 Assessment: Ensure completion of the Assessment cycle for SLOs and PLOs. #4 Resources: Increase, develop and manage the College's resource	
		capacity in the areas of facilities, technology, personnel, finances and public and private partnerships, in order to advance the quality of education provided.	
3. Curriculum: To be completed by curriculum committee representative	In Progress Meets College Goals	Curriculum and course outlines appear to be current.	
4. Assessment To be completed by assessment coordinators	In Progress Meets College Goals	There is clearly thoughtful consideration of what students need from the department, and the assessment process needs to be formalized.	
5. Instruction	In Progress Meets College Goals	The department is working to stay current with industry trends, especially in the digital arena. Collaboration with other departments is encouraging.	
6. Student Success	In Progress Meets College Goals	Course success rates meet college standards. Program completion is low due to transfer emphasis of program. Department should consider adding more certificates	
7. Resources	In Progress Meets College Goals	The Department is currently sharing space with the CM department creating a bit of crowding and some scheduling issues. Identified need for FT IT support for	
8. Community, Institutional, and Professional Engagement and Partnerships	In Progress Meets College Goals	The department has always lagged in the development and use of of an effective advisory committee. On the other hand, the department has partnered with others in developing the FabLab amongst other projects.	
9. Professional Development	In Progress Meets College Goals	Full time faculty member is quietly innovative. The department has been very engaged in program innovation supported by PD activities.	
10. Discipline, Department or Program Goals and Activities	In Progress Meets College Goals	The department has set reasonable goals and intends to meet them.	

Part II. Overall Assessment of the Program Review Report (to be completed by deans).

Review Criteria	Comments:	
1. The narrative information is clear and all elements of the program review are addressed.	Overall, the program review meets a reasonable standard of completeness and quality. Conclusions and recommendations are linked to data and trends.	
	The department's planning goals and strategies are described in the report and address areas of concern.	
2. Conclusions and recommendations are well-substantiated and relate to the analysis of the data.	Resource requests are relatively modestly stated compared to the actual gravitas of the needs including the need for a full time IT support person.	
3. Discipline, department or program planning goals are articulated in the report. The goals address noted areas of concern.		
4. The resource requests are connected to the discipline, department or program planning goals and are aligned with the college goals.	* ***	
*	e	
	THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON OF T	
8.6		
A		

Part III. Program Review Rating (to be completed by division dean) Rating Comments (Required if Improvement Needed) 1. Accepted Complete the signatures below and submit to the Vice President of Instruction. 2. Improvement Needed Provide improvement plan that indicates areas in the report that require major revision. Discuss report along with a reasonable timeline for re-submission. Notify the vice president of instruction of the need to re-submit. Please note that program reviews that are late run the risk of not being included in the various resource prioritization processes of the college and the district. Improvement Needed Signatures Discipline, Department or Program Chair (Acknowledgment of Improvement Needed) Signature Print Name **Division Dean** Print Name Signature Laney Program Review Validation 3 of 4

Part IV. Signatures

Validation Team Lead Signatures

1. Curriculum: Validated by Cur	rriculum Committee Representative(s)	
VINA CERA	Viux leux Signature	<u>4-20-16</u> Date
Print Name	Signature	Date
2. Assessment: Validated by Ass	sessment Coordinator(s)	u u u u u u u u u u u u u u u u u u u
Resuca Bailey	Klebas	628.16
Print Name	Signature	Date
a		
3. Division Dean		
Peter Crabtre	e Pet 1. Elt	4/20/16
Print Name	Signature	Date
		#
4. Received by Vice President of	Instruction	
		:
Print Name	Signature	Date
si e		
2		
5. Discipline, Department or Prog	gram Chair (Acknowledgement of Program Rev	riew Rating)
		,
Ron Betts	8m/3M	4-20-16
Print Name	Signature	Date
=		