## Appendix C Program Review Validation Form and Signature Page

## Laney College

Discipline, Department or Program: Culinary (CULIN)

Part 1: First Level Validation: Assessment of department performance and/or needs in specific areas (to be completed by sections leads and division deans

Program Review	Validation	Comments
Elements		Laney College Goals:
e e	In Progress: department is moving to align with	<b>#1 Student Success:</b> Develop new and strengthen existing interventions and strategies to increase students' access and success.
	college goals  Meets college goals: department aligns with college goals	#2 Accreditation: Ensure a collaborative process to successfully complete the necessary actions that lead to the reaffirmation of Laney College's accreditation on an unconditional (non-warning) status.  #3 Assessment: Ensure completion of the Assessment cycle for SLOs and PLOs.
a a	8	<b>#4 Resources</b> : Increase, develop and manage the College's resource capacity in the areas of facilities, technology, personnel, finances and public and private partnerships, in order to advance the quality of education provided.
3. Curriculum: To be completed by curriculum	In Progress	Course updates should be completed to bring courses
committee representative	Meets College Goals	current. There is no assessment or analysis of the overall
4. Assessment To be completed by assessment coordinators	In Progress  Meets College Goals	Department must work with Assessment Coordinators Heather Sisneros and Rebecca Bailey to develop a plan for moving forward with assessment.
5. Instruction	In Progress  Meets College Goals	There is little mention of any plans for instructional improvement other than the need to upgrade instructional labs in the Student Center. This absence
6. Student Success	In Progress  ✓ Meets College Goals	Overall student success meets college standards. However, it is clear that cooking students struggle with the 1st semester lecture courses. Can this problem be
7. Resources	In Progress  Meets College Goals	The department has some urgent facilities problems which are identified in the PR. Some of these have been addressStudent Center upgrade, freezer gasket
8. Community, Institutional, and Professional Engagement and Partnerships	In Progress  Meets College Goals	The department has ongoing connections with industry and community partners. The adisory committee could certainly be strengthened, and overall connections with industry partners improved.
9. Professional Development	In Progress  Meets College Goals	The department needs to more actively engage with professional development activies, especially for full time faculty members.
10. Discipline, Department or Program Goals and Activities	In Progress  Meets College Goals	The PR has identified worthy goals. More formal engagement with industry partners through an advisory committee is encouraged.

Part II. Overall Assessment of the Program Review Report (to be completed by deans).

Review Criteria	Comments:	
The narrative information is clear and all elements of the program review are addressed.	The PR reflects a department somewhat in transition. It needs to update courses and curriculum, especially on the cooking side. It needs to identify some innovatiive approaches to improving student success for 1st semester cooking students.	
2. Conclusions and recommendations are well-substantiated and relate to the analysis of the data.	The PR laregely skirts the issue of learning outcome assessment. Program level assessment may help identify gaps and needs in the overall program.	
2 Dissipling department on program planning	Planning goals are generally sound.	
3. Discipline, department or program planning goals are articulated in the report. The goals address noted areas of concern.	Resource needs identified are appropriate.	
4. The resource requests are connected to the discipline, department or program planning goals and are aligned with the college goals.		
	=	
±		
a a *a		
	24 All 10	
	en e	
A		

Part III. Program Review Rating (to be completed by division dean) Comments (Required if Improvement Needed) Rating 1. Accepted Complete the signatures below and submit to the Vice President of Instruction. 2. Improvement Needed Provide improvement plan that indicates areas in the report that require major revision. Discuss report along with a reasonable timeline for re-submission. Notify the vice president of instruction of the need to re-submit. Please note that program reviews that are late run the risk of not being included in the various resource prioritization processes of the college and the district. Improvement Needed Signatures Discipline, Department or Program Chair (Acknowledgment of Improvement Needed) ignature **Division Dean** Print Name Signature

Laney Program Review Validation

3 of 4

## Part IV. Signatures

## **Validation Team Lead Signatures**

-	iculum Committee Representative(s)	
VINA CERA Print Name	Vina Cora	4-22-16
Print Name	Signature	4-22-15 Date
2. Assessment: Validated by Asses	ssment Coordinator(s)	
Print Name	Signature	Data
rini Name	Signature	Date
9		
3. Division Dean		
Peter Crabpec	Pot	4/22/1
Print Name	Signature	Date
4. Received by Vice President of In	struction	
Print Name	Signature	Date
5. Discipline, Department or Progr	am Chair (Acknowledgement of Program Review	Rating)
LORRIANN RASI		4/22/16
Print Name	Signature (	Date