Appendix C Program Review Validation Form and Signature Page

Laney College

Discipline, Department or Program: Journalism (JOURN)

Part 1: First Level Validation: Assessment of department performance and/or needs in specific areas (to be completed by sections leads and division deans

Program Review	Validation	Comments	
Elements		Laney College Goals:	
	In Progress: department is moving to align with college goals Meets college goals: department aligns with college goals	#1 Student Success: Develop new and strengthen existing interventions and strategies to increase students' access and success.	
		#2 Accreditation: Ensure a collaborative process to successfully complete the necessary actions that lead to the reaffirmation of Laney College's accreditation on an unconditional (non-warning) status. #3 Assessment: Ensure completion of the Assessment cycle for SLOs and PLOs.	
		#4 Resources: Increase, develop and manage the College's resource capacity in the areas of facilities, technology, personnel, finances and public and private partnerships, in order to advance the quality of education provided.	
3. Curriculum: To be completed by curriculum committee representative	In Progress Meets College Goals	Journalism has 83.3% of their 6 courses up-to-date. Journalism 21, news-writing was to be updated in spring 2016, but it wasn't done.	
4. Assessment To be completed by assessment coordinators	In Progress Meets College Goals	Good start on the Assessment Spreadsheet. Department must work with Assessment Coordinators Heather Sisneros and Rebecca Bailey to develop a plan	
5. Instruction	In Progress Meets College Goals	The Journalism department is moving towards aligning itself to college goals. The program review report is clear and concise and addressed all the elements.	
6. Student Success	In Progress Meets College Goals	The department's productivity rate is 14.89, completion rate is 69.11%, success rate is 58.62%, and the retention rate is 81.25%. Suggest faculty seek out	
7. Resources	In Progress Meets College Goals	There was very little discussion or justification of resource needs for the department.	
8. Community, Institutional, and Professional Engagement and Partnerships	In Progress Meets College Goals	Faculty participated in very limited institutional or external events.	
9. Professional Development	In Progress Meets College Goals	Faculty participated in very limited professional development activities.	
10. Discipline, Department or Program Goals and Activities	In Progress Meets College Goals	The limited number of goals for the department were not sufficiently explained or justified.	

Part II. Overall Assessment of the Program Review Report (to be completed by deans). **Review Criteria Comments:** The program review is clear and concise and 1. The narrative information is clear and all addressed all the elements. 83% of the courses are elements of the program review are addressed. up-to-date. However, in addition to the one that was mentioned that needs updating, Journ 21, 3 other courses needs to be evaluated this semester. They are Journ 18A, 18C and 18D. The department also 2. Conclusions and recommendations are wellsubstantiated and relate to the analysis of the data. needs to align its courses to ILOs. Recommend creating an advisory committee to help revitalize the department and increase interest in this area. Would also recommend that Eleni Gastis who has journalism 3. Discipline, department or program planning experience be part of this committee. goals are articulated in the report. The goals address noted areas of concern. 4. The resource requests are connected to the discipline, department or program planning goals and are aligned with the college goals.

Part III. Program Review Rating (to be completed by division dean) Rating Comments (Required if Improvement Needed) 1. Accepted Complete the signatures below and submit to the Vice President of Instruction. 2. Improvement Needed Provide improvement plan that indicates areas in the report that require major revision. Discuss report along with a reasonable timeline for re-submission. Notify the vice president of instruction of the need to re-submit. Please note that program reviews that are late run the risk of not being included in the various resource prioritization processes of the college and the district. Improvement Needed Signatures Discipline, Department or Program Chair (Acknowledgment of Improvement Needed) **Burt Dragin** Print Name **Division Dean** Chuen Chan 2-15-16 Print Name Date

Part IV. Signatures

Validation Team Lead Signatures

1. Curriculum:	Validated by	Curriculum	Committee R	epresentative(s)
----------------	--------------	------------	-------------	------------------

VINA CERA Print Name	Vices Crea Signature	5-33-16 Date
2. Assessment: Validated by As	ssessment Coordinator(s)	
ReseccaBarley Print Name	Signature	5.27.16 Date
3. Division Dean		
Chuen Chan	Churchan	2-15-16
Print Name	Signature	Date
4. Received by Vice President of	f Instruction	
Lilia Celhay	Litia Cold	5-31-10
Print Name	Signature	Date
5. Discipline, Department or Pro	ogram Chair (Acknowledgement of Program Re	eview Rating)
Burt Dragin	Burt Wragin	5/26/16
Print Name	Signature /	Date